

American Mathematical Monthly, 120:8, (2013), 743-746.

A variational principle and its application to estimating the electrical capacitance of a perfect conductor

A.G. Ramm

Abstract

Assume that A is a bounded self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space H . Then, the variational principle

$$\max_v \frac{|(Au, v)|^2}{(Av, v)} = (Au, u) \quad (*)$$

holds if and only if $A \geq 0$, that is, if $(Av, v) \geq 0$ for all $v \in H$. We define the quotient on the left-hand side in $(*)$ to be zero if $(Av, v) = 0$. As an application of this principle a variational principle for the electrical capacitance of a conductor of an arbitrary shape is derived.

1 INTRODUCTION.

In many applications, a physical quantity of interest can be expressed as a quadratic form. For example, let $\sigma(t)$ be the surface density of an electric charge distributed on the surface S of a perfect conductor, with t being a point on S . If the conductor is charged to a potential $u = 1$, then the equation for $\sigma(t)$ is (see, for example, [3])

$$A\sigma(s) := \int_S \frac{\sigma(t)dt}{4\pi r_{st}} = 1, \quad s \in S, \quad r_{st} := |s - t|, \quad (1.1)$$

where dt is the element of the surface area, S is the surface of the conductor D , and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with a connected smooth boundary S . The total charge on S is $Q = \int_S \sigma(t)dt$. The physical quantity of interest is the electrical capacitance, C , of the conductor D . Since $Q = Cu$ and $u = 1$ (see equation (1.1)), it follows that

$$C = \int_S \sigma(t)dt = (A\sigma, \sigma),$$

where $(f, g) := \int_S f\bar{g}dt$ is the inner product in the Hilbert space $H := L^2(S)$, and the overbar stands for complex conjugation. The electrical capacitance of a perfect conductor

of an arbitrary shape is of interest from both the physical and mathematical points of view. Our aim in this paper is to derive an abstract variational principle that allows the representation of the quadratic form (Au, u) of a self-adjoint operator A in a Hilbert space H . If $A \geq 0$, then the variational principle (1.2) (see below) follows from the Cauchy inequality. If A is not non-negative, it is not clear whether the variational principle (1.2) is true. In this paper, we prove that as long as this principle holds, then A must be non-negative. Our main result of this paper is stated in Theorem 1.1. One physical application of this result is the estimation of the electrical capacitance (see formulas (1.3) and (1.4)). Several earlier works (see [4],[5], [6]) provide various estimates of the electrical capacitances of perfect conductors. In [7], the role of the electrical capacitance in the theory of wave scattering by small bodies of an arbitrary shape is explained.

Let us introduce a general theory. Let $A = A^*$ be a linear self-adjoint bounded operator in a Hilbert space H . In the abstract theory developed below, u stands for an element of the Hilbert space H , while σ is used in an application to electrostatics.

We are interested in the quantity (Au, u) and want to find a variational principle that allows one to calculate or estimate this quantity. Let us write $A \geq 0$ if and only if $(Av, v) \geq 0$ for all v , and say in this case that A is non-negative. If $(Av, v) > 0$ for all $v \neq 0$, we write $A > 0$ and say that A is positive. The following variational principle is our main abstract result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A = A^*$ be a linear bounded self-adjoint operator. The formula*

$$(Au, u) = \max_{v \in H} \frac{|(Av, u)|^2}{(Av, v)} \quad (1.2)$$

holds if and only if $A \geq 0$.

Remark. If $(Av, v) = 0$, then the quotient on the right-hand side of equation (1.2) is defined to be zero.

Theorem 1.1 can be proved also for unbounded self-adjoint operators A . In that case, maximization is taken over $v \in D(A)$, where $D(A)$ is the domain of A , a linear dense subset of H , and it is assumed that $u \in D(A)$.

In Section 2, Theorem 1.1 is proved. Let us illustrate this theorem with an example.

Example of an application of the variational principle (1.2). Let A be defined as in equation (1.1). In Section 2, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *The operator A in equation (1.1) is positive in $H := L^2(S)$.*

From Theorem 1.1, Lemma 1.2, and equation (1.1), it follows that the electrical capacitance C can be calculated by the following variational principle:

$$C = \max_{v \in L^2(S)} \frac{|\int_S v(t) dt|^2}{\int_S \int_S \frac{v(t)\overline{v(s)} ds dt}{4\pi r_{st}}}. \quad (1.3)$$

This variational principle for electrical capacitance is an example of the application of the abstract variational principle formulated in Theorem 1.1.

Formula (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$C^{-1} = \min_{v \in L^2(S)} \frac{\int_S \int_S \frac{v(t)\overline{v(s)}dsdt}{4\pi r_{st}}}{|\int_S v(t)dt|^2}. \quad (1.4)$$

In particular, by setting $v = 1$ in (1.3) one gets

$$C \geq \frac{4\pi|S|^2}{J}, \quad \text{and} \quad J := \int_S \int_S \frac{dsdt}{r_{st}}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $|S|$ is the surface area of S .

Formula (1.4) yields a well-known principle, due to Gauss (see [2]). This principle states that if the total charge $Q = \int_S v(t)dt$ is distributed on the surface S of a perfect conductor with a density $v(t)$, then the minimal value of the functional

$$Q^{-2} \int_S \int_S \frac{v(t)\overline{v(s)}dsdt}{4\pi r_{st}} = \min \quad (1.6)$$

is equal to C^{-1} . Here C is the electrical capacitance of the conductor, and this minimal value is attained at $v(t) = \sigma(t)$, where $\sigma(t)$ solves equation (1.1).

In [4], the following approximate formula for the capacitance is derived:

$$C^{(0)} = \frac{4\pi|S|^2}{J}.$$

This formula is the zero-th approximation of an iterative process for finding $\sigma(t)$, the equilibrium charge distribution on the surface S of a perfect conductor charged to the potential $u = 1$.

2 PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The *sufficiency* of the condition $A \geq 0$ for the validity of (1.2) is clear: If $A = A^* \geq 0$, then the quadratic form $[u, u] := (Au, u)$ is non-negative and the standard argument yields the Cauchy inequality

$$|(Au, v)|^2 \leq (Au, u)(Av, v). \quad (2.1)$$

The equality sign in formula (2.1) is attained if and only if u and v are linearly dependent. Dividing (2.1) by (Av, v) , one obtains (1.2), and the maximum in (1.2) is attained if $v = \lambda u$ and λ is constant.

Let us prove the *necessity* of the condition $A \geq 0$ for (1.2) to hold. Let us assume that there exist z and w such that $(Az, z) > 0$ and $(Aw, w) < 0$, and prove that then formula (1.2) cannot hold.

Note that if $(Av, v) \leq 0$ for all v , then (1.2) cannot hold. Indeed, if $(Av, v) \leq 0$ for all v , then (1.2) can be written as $|(Bu, v)|^2 \geq (Bu, u)(Bv, v)$, where $B = -A \geq 0$. This is a contradiction to the Cauchy inequality. This contradiction proves that inequality $(Av, v) \leq 0$ cannot hold for all v if the variational principle (1.2) is true.

Continuing with our proof, take $v = \lambda z + w$, where λ is an arbitrary real number. Then, (1.2) yields

$$\frac{|(Au, \lambda z + w)|^2}{q(\lambda)} \leq (Au, u), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$q(\lambda) := a\lambda^2 + 2b\lambda + c, \quad a := (Az, z) > 0, \quad c = (Aw, w) < 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and $b := \operatorname{Re}(Az, w)$. The polynomial $q(\lambda)$ has two real zeros, $\lambda_1 < 0$ and $\lambda_2 > 0$, and $q^{-1}(\lambda) \rightarrow +\infty$ if $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_1 - 0$ or if $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_2 + 0$. The quadratic polynomial $p(\lambda) := |(Au, \lambda z + w)|^2$ also has two zeros, and by (2.2), the ratio $\frac{p(\lambda)}{q(\lambda)}$ is bounded when $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_1 - 0$ and $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_2 + 0$. Therefore, one concludes that $p(\lambda)$ has the same zeros as $q(\lambda)$, that is, λ_1 and λ_2 are zeros of $p(\lambda)$.

Since $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0$ and

$$p(\lambda) = |(Au, z)|^2 \lambda^2 + 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(Au, z) \overline{(Au, w)} + |(Au, w)|^2,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{|(Au, w)|^2}{|(Au, z)|^2} < 0. \quad (2.4)$$

This is a contradiction which proves that there are no elements z and w such that $(Az, z) > 0$ and $(Aw, w) < 0$. Theorem 1.1 is proved. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 1.2. The idea behind the proof of Lemma 1.2 is simple. The Fourier transform of a quadratic form (Au, u) , with an operator A that has a convolution kernel, is non-negative for every u if and only if the Fourier transform of the convolution kernel $A(x)$ (that is the function $\tilde{A}(\zeta)$) is non-negative. In our case, the role of u plays the density σ supported on the smooth surface S , that is, $u = \sigma \delta_S$, where δ_S is the delta-function supported on the surface S , and the convolution kernel is $A(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

It is known that

$$F\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-i\zeta \cdot x}}{|x|} dx = \frac{4\pi}{|\zeta|^2} > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where the Fourier transform F is understood in the sense of distributions (see, e.g., [1]). Therefore,

$$(A\sigma, \sigma) = \int_S \int_S \frac{\sigma(t) \overline{\sigma(s)}}{4\pi|s - t|} ds dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|F\sigma(\zeta)|^2}{|\zeta|^2} d\zeta \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where $F\sigma(\zeta)$ is the Fourier transform of the distribution $\sigma(t)$ with support on the surface S . There are many results regarding the rate of decay of the Fourier transform of a

function (measure) supported on a surface. For example, if the Gaussian curvature of the surface S is strictly positive, then (see [8])

$$F\sigma(\zeta) := \int_S \sigma(t) e^{-i\zeta \cdot t} dt = O\left(\frac{1}{|\zeta|}\right), \quad |\zeta| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.7)$$

where $|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and we assume that $\sigma(t)$ is sufficiently smooth. With such a decay the integral in (2.6) converges classically. It follows from (2.6) that $(A\sigma, \sigma) \geq 0$. This proves Lemma 1.2. $\square$

References

- [1] I. Gel'fand, G. Shilov, *Generalized functions*. Acad. Press, New York, 1964.
- [2] G. Polya, G. Szegő, *Isoperimetric inequalities in mathematical physics*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [3] A. G. Ramm, *Iterative methods for calculating static fields and wave scattering by small bodies*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [4] A. G. Ramm, *Wave scattering by small bodies of arbitrary shapes*. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2005.
- [5] A. G. Ramm, *Scattering by obstacles*. D.Reidel, Dordrecht, 1986.
- [6] A. G. Ramm, A variational principle and its applications, *Internat. Journ. of Pure Appl. Math.* **77** no. 3 (2012) 309-313.
- [7] A. G. Ramm, Scattering of scalar waves by many small particles, *AIP Advances* **1** (2011) 022135.
- [8] E. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.

Department of Mathematics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506-2602,

email: ramm@math.ksu.edu